

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION MARY LAND HEALTH CARE SYSTEM

BALTIMORE DIVISION 10 NORTH GREENE STREET BALTIMORE MD 21201

GEN00005.2

PATHOLOGY & LABORATORY MEDICINE SERVICE

CAP Compliance And Proficiency Testing Challenges version 2
General Procedure # GEN00005

Principle: It is the policy of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine Service (P&LMS) to strive to meet all terms of the College of American Pathologist (CAP) regarding the accreditation of this laboratory. P&LMS will participate in proficiency testing for all analytes.

CAP Compliance

The CAP will be notified immediately for:

- a. Adverse media attention related to laboratory performance. The lab must report adverse incidents to the CAP within 2 days after learning of an investigation or adverse media attention.
- b. All change in the labs must be submitted to the CAP on a Test Menu change form and an updated master after list prior to the start of patient testing.
- c. Change in directorship, location, ownership, name, insolvency, or bankruptcy must be reported to the CAP no later than 30 days. Any unexpected changes no later than two working days. The following forms must be submitted to the CAP:
 - i. Notification of Change Form
 - ii. A photocopy of your Curriculum Vitae (CV) without publications
 - iii. Director CV must be signed and dated by director within the last 24 months
 - iv. CV must reflect the experience and/or training listed under Step 2: Director Qualifications
 - v. Attachment A Laboratory Director Questionnaire
 - vi. Attachment B Terms of Accreditation
 - vii. Include an Organizational Chart or diagram illustrating lines of authority and responsibility for laboratory operations. Include both names and titles.

If there is a change in directorship, the new director or designee will ensure that laboratory procedures are well documented and undergo at least bi-annual review.

- All supervisors will present their section's current procedure manual to the director for his/her review.
- Any changes deemed necessary by the new director or designee will be discussed with the appropriate staff.
- A "Change in Directorship" cover sheet with the directors signature and date of review will be placed in each manual.



VETERANS ADMINISTRATION MARYLAND HEALTH CARE SYSTEM

BALTIMORE DIVISION 10 NORTH GREENE STREET BALTIMORE. MD 21201

GEN00005.2

Receipt of Proficiency Testing (PT) Challenges:

- 1. Upon receipt, each section supervisor or designee will assign CAP surveys to ensure rotation amongst all techs that usually perform the patient testing for those parameters.
- 2. Read and follow all survey instructions. This includes test handling, reconstitution and diluent requirements, stability parameters, calculations and pretreatment requirements.

3. Verify method and instrument codes.

- 4. All CAP specimens will be integrated within the routine workload, and analyzed by personnel who routinely test patient samples, using the same primary method systems as for patient samples
- 5. Proficiency testing samples normally tested within the laboratory are not to be sent to any reference laboratory for testing or accepted from another laboratory.
- 6. There will be no inter-laboratory communication about the proficiency samples before results are submitted to the proficiency testing provider.

Receipt of Proficiency Testing Evaluations:

- 1. When the evaluation reports are received back from CAP, they will be reviewed by the Quality Management Technologist and Section Supervisor. All discrepancies will be resolved in the time frame required.
- 2. All unacceptable responses must be investigated and corrective actions must be documented in the Proficiency Survey Follow-up Form GEN00005A (Attachment 1). The results of this assessment must be documented in the Proficiency Survey Follow-up Form. Any corrective or preventive action documented must be first reviewed by the QM Technologist, then signed and approved by the Chief of Pathology.
- 3. Further investigation and documentation may be requested by the VA Regional Office (VACO) depending on the exception code or failure. A copy of the Proficiency Survey Follow-Up Form and additional documentation will be submitted to the regional office via fax or email by the QM Technologist
- 4. All PT challenges not graded for the following reasons:
 - a. No results submitted, Results for this kit were received past the due date or Specimen problem; must be investigated as to the reason no results were submitted. All findings will be documented on the PT Follow-up Form. Must be assessed by another method, to be determined by the section supervisor.
 - b. <u>Lack of consensus</u> or <u>education challenges</u>; the supervisor will review the CAP Participant Summary booklet supplied with each survey report to evaluate the labs results for accuracy. All findings will be documented on the CAP report on the same page as the analyte and code with date and initials of the supervisor.



VETERANS ADMINISTRATION MARYLAND HEALTH CARE SYSTEM

BALTIMORE DIVISION 10 NORTH GREENE STREET BALTIMORE, MD 21201

GEN00005.2

REFERENCES:

- 1. CAP General Checklist, Northfield IL, 2016
- 2. VHA HANDBOOK 1106.01, PATHOLOGY AND LABORATORY MEDICINE SERVICE (P&LMS) PROCEDURES January 29, 2016

ATTACHMENTS:

- 1. Proficiency survey follow-up form GEN00005A
- 2. Delegation Form GEN00005B



VETERANS ADMINISTRATION MARYLAND HEALTH CARE SYSTEM BALTIMORE DIVISION 10 NORTH GREENE STREET BALTIMORE, MD 21201

GEN00005.2

re/Policy Chief of Service	hor of Procedure/Policy	Author	ADOPTED	
Signature: Dong H. Lee M.D.	l D. Gruver, MT	Paul D.	1/16/2008	
Date retired:		ure(s)	Policy/Procedure(Retired:	
of reviewer	Signature of reviewer	Version Number	l I	
de	poade		11/13/17 2	
made Signature	n # Changes made			
ed, added notification of any of CAP. In of competency assessment. Information for handling of the testing specimens and	Reformatted, added no changes to CAP. Delegation of compete Updated information f	2	9/30/17	
made ed, added notification of any o CAP. of competency assessment. of competency assessment. of or handling of otesting specimens and	n # Changes made Reformatted, added no changes to CAP. Delegation of competed Updated information of proficiency testing specific proficiency testing specific profice profi	Number ORY Revision #	Date 1 11/13/17 2 REVISION HIST Date revised	

VAMHCS Pathology and Laboratory Medicine Service 10 North Greene Street Baltimore, Md. 21201

Attachment 1
Form GEN00005A

Proficiency Survey Follow-up

Specimens:					ratory:	Labora	
Problem: Assessment review: Yes No N/A 1. PT survey report examined for discrepancies Yes No N/A 2. Clerical error Yes No N/A 3. Method history reviewed (check all that apply) Methodology review Quality control Maintenance records Lot numbers Personnel competency Technical review Policy & procedure Policy & procedure Yes No N/A 4. PT problems - last three challenges Yes No N/A 5. Manufacturer consulted Yes No N/A 6. Reassayed PT material (If no, comment on back) Yes No N/A 8. Reference method comparison Yes No N/A 9. Survey material problems investigated Handling Reconstitution Storage Analysis sequence	☐ Non-acceptable (overall satisfactory)			Survey: Kit #:			
Assessment review: Yes No N/A Yes No N/A Yes No N/A Yes No N/A Clerical error Yes No N/A Methodology review Quality control Maintenance records Lot numbers Personnel competency Technical review Policy & procedure Yes No N/A	• •						Specin
Assessment review: Yes No N/A 1. PT survey report examined for discrepancies Yes No N/A 2. Clerical error Yes No N/A 3. Method history reviewed (check all that apply) Methodology review Quality control Maintenance records Lot numbers Personnel competency Technical review Policy & procedure Yes No N/A 4. PT problems - last three challenges Yes No N/A 5. Manufacturer consulted Yes No N/A 6. Reassayed PT material (If no, comment on back) Yes No N/A 7. Linearity study performed Yes No N/A 8. Reference method comparison Yes No N/A 9. Survey material problems investigated Handling Reconstitution Storage Analysis sequence					Date: _		
Yes No N/A 2. Clerical error Yes No N/A 3. Method history reviewed (check all that apply) Methodology review Quality control Maintenance records Lot numbers Personnel competency Technical review Policy & procedure Yes No N/A 4. PT problems - last three challenges Yes No N/A 5. Manufacturer consulted Yes No N/A 6. Reassayed PT material (If no, comment on back) Yes No N/A 7. Linearity study performed Yes No N/A 8. Reference method comparison Yes No N/A 9. Survey material problems investigated Handling Reconstitution Storage Analysis sequence							
Yes No N/A Yes No N/A 2. Clerical error Yes No N/A 3. Method history reviewed (check all that apply) Methodology review			view:	ment re	Assess		
Yes No N/A Yes No N/A 2. Clerical error 3. Method history reviewed (check all that apply) Methodology review Quality control Maintenance records Lot numbers Personnel competency Technical review Policy & procedure Yes No N/A S. Manufacturer consulted Yes No N/A S. Reference method comparison Yes No N/A Survey material problems investigated Handling Reconstitution Storage Analysis sequence		1.	N/A			776	
Methodology review Quality control Maintenance records Lot numbers Personnel competency Technical review Policy & procedure		2.	N/A	No			
Methodology review		3.	N/A	No	Yes		
Quality control Maintenance records Lot numbers Personnel competency Technical review Policy & procedure Yes No N/A 4. PT problems - last three challenges Yes No N/A 5. Manufacturer consulted Yes No N/A 6. Reassayed PT material (If no, comment on back) Yes No N/A 7. Linearity study performed Yes No N/A 8. Reference method comparison Yes No N/A 9. Survey material problems investigated Handling Reconstitution Storage Analysis sequence							
□ Lot numbers □ Personnel competency □ Technical review □ Policy & procedure Yes No N/A 4. PT problems - last three challenges Yes No N/A 5. Manufacturer consulted Yes No N/A 6. Reassayed PT material (If no, comment on back) Yes No N/A 7. Linearity study performed Yes No N/A 8. Reference method comparison Yes No N/A 9. Survey material problems investigated □ Handling □ Reconstitution □ Storage □ Analysis sequence							
□ Lot numbers □ Personnel competency □ Technical review □ Policy & procedure Yes No N/A 4. PT problems - last three challenges Yes No N/A 5. Manufacturer consulted Yes No N/A 6. Reassayed PT material (If no, comment on back) Yes No N/A 7. Linearity study performed Yes No N/A 8. Reference method comparison Yes No N/A 9. Survey material problems investigated □ Handling □ Reconstitution □ Storage □ Analysis sequence							
Technical review Policy & procedure Yes No N/A Survey material problems investigated Handling Reconstitution Storage Analysis sequence							
Yes No N/A 4. PT problems - last three challenges Yes No N/A 5. Manufacturer consulted Yes No N/A 6. Reassayed PT material (If no, comment on back) Yes No N/A 7. Linearity study performed Yes No N/A 8. Reference method comparison Yes No N/A 9. Survey material problems investigated Handling Reconstitution Storage Analysis sequence							
Yes No N/A Survey material problems investigated Handling Reconstitution Storage Analysis sequence							
Yes No N/A Survey material problems investigated Handling Reconstitution Storage Analysis sequence					37		
Yes No N/A Survey material problems investigated Handling Reconstitution Storage Analysis sequence		4.					
Yes No N/A 7. Linearity study performed Yes No N/A 8. Reference method comparison Yes No N/A 9. Survey material problems investigated Handling Reconstitution Storage Analysis sequence							
Yes No N/A 8. Reference method comparison Yes No N/A 9. Survey material problems investigated Handling Reconstitution Storage Analysis sequence	.)	6.					
Yes No N/A 9. Survey material problems investigated Handling Reconstitution Storage Analysis sequence		7.					
Handling Reconstitution Storage Analysis sequence		8.					
☐ Reconstitution ☐ Storage ☐ Analysis sequence		9.	IV/A	NU	165		
□ Storage □ Analysis sequence							
☐ Analysis sequence							
□ Analysis sequence							
Matrix off a							
☐ Matrix effects							
nvestigation:					gation:	nvestiga	
onclusion:					sion:	onclusi	

VAMHCS
Pathology and Laboratory Medicine Service
10 North Greene Street
Baltimore, Md. 21201

Proficiency Follow-up
Page 2

Survey An	alyte	<u> </u>
Measures taken to prevent recurrence:		
Evidence of correction:		
Comments:		
nvestigator signature:		
uality Management Technologist:		
Additional Reviews:		
Section Supervisor:	Date:	
Section Chief:	Date:	
Chief, Pathology & Laboratory Medicine Service	Date:	

VA Maryland Health Care System 10 North Greene Street Baltimore, MD 21201

Delegation of Authority

The following persons have the authority to approve and sign the following items on my behalf:

- Competency Assessment
- Proficiency Testing (Attestation Form)

Anatomic Pathology:
Radheshyam Dey, CT (ASCP) Anatomic Pathology Supervisor
Anatomic Pathology Supervisor
Harris G. Yfantis M.D., Staff Pathologist

Ancillary Testing:

Soudabeh H. Soleimanpour, MT (ASCP), Ancillary Testing Coordinator

Blood Bank:

Rafael Doria, Blood Bank Supervisor

Gladys Alonsozana M.D., Staff Pathologist

Chemistry:
10.26-17
John Coulter, MT (ASCP) Chemistry Supervisor
John M 260c+ 2017
Lawrence A. Brown M.D., Staff Pathologist
Hematology:
Demalia Hlreep), Mr
Daniel Samaila, MT (ASCP) Hematology, Coagulation and Urinalysis Supervisor
Applied as second
Nahid M. Nanaji M.D., Staff Pathologist
Microbiology:
\cap \circ \circ
Andrea Appleby-Sigler, MT (ASCP) Microbiology and Molecular Diagnostics Supervisor
day J Hernewich, PhD, Sy (ASCP)
Amy Horneman, PhD. Chief Microbiology and Molecular Diagnostics
approved by:
Approved by.
10/26/17
Dong H. Lee, M.D. Chief of P&I MS VAMHCS