Clinical History

A 36-year-old woman with rheumatoid arthritis is found to have a hematocrit (Hct) of 22% on a routine clinic visit. She has a history of blood transfusion 3 years ago with a negative antibody screen at that time. The patient is referred to the outpatient transfusion service for transfusion of two units of red blood cells (RBCs); a type and screen sample along with an order for the RBCs (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid [EDTA] anticoagulant) is submitted to the blood bank.

ABO/Rh/Antibody Screen

| Patient RBCs | s (forward typing) | | Patient plasm | a (reverse typing) |
|--------------|---------------------|--------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Anti-A | Anti-B | Anti-D | A ₁ cells | B cells |
| 0 | 0 | 3+ | 4+ | 4+ |
| Antibody scr | een (tube LISS meth | od) | | |
| | 37 °C | AHG | | |
| SC1 | 2+ | 3+ | | |
| SC2 | 2+ | 3+ | | |

Reaction scale = 0 (no reaction) to 4+ (strong reaction)

Tube Panel

DAT perfile

| | | | | | R | h-hr | | | | Kell | | | | | | | iffy | Kidd | | Le | | м | NS | | P | Lutheran | | Test results: LAT/tube LISS | | | |
|--------|---------------------------------|---|----|---|---|------|---|----|---|------|---|-----|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|----|-----------------|---|----|---|---|----------|----|--------------------------------|-------|-----|----|
| Cell | Rh-hr | D | с | E | ¢ | e | f | C. | v | K | k | Kp* | Kp ^a | Js* | Js* | Fy* | Fys | Jk* | Jκ, | Le | Le ^b | М | N | s | 5 | Pi | Lu | Lu ^b | 37 °C | AHG | CC |
| 1 | $\mathbf{R}_{cu}\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | 2+ | 3+ | NT |
| 2 | R _i R ₁ | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | ٠ | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | 2+ | 3+ | NT |
| 3 | R ₁ R ₂ | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 2 | 0 | + | 0 | W+ | NT |
| 4 | R _o r | + | 0 | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | 2+ | 3+ | NT |
| 5 | r'r | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 2+ | 3+ | NT |
| 6 | r"r | 0 | 0 | + | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | .* | 0 | + | 2+ | 3+ | NT |
| 7 | rr | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | ٠ | 0 | + | + | .* | 0 | + | 2+ | 3+ | NT |
| 8 | rr | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | 1 | 0 | + | 2+ | 3+ | NT |
| 9 | rr | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | 2+ | 3+ | NT |
| 10 | rr | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | .* | 0 | + | 2+ | 3+ | NT |
| 11 | R _i R ₁ | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 2+ | 3+ | NT |
| Patien | t cell | | 2+ | 0 | 0 | 2+ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2+ | 3+ | NT |

Reaction scale = 0 (no reaction) to 4+ (strong reaction); S strong, W weak

| DAT profile | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Polyspecific: 3+ | Anti-IgG: 3+ | Anti-C,d: 3+ | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Reaction scale = 0 (no reaction) to 4+ (strong reaction)

Autoadsorption Panel

| | | RI | h-hr | | | | | | | | Ke | ell | | | | | Duffy | , | Kidd | Kidd | | 5 | MN | NS | | | P | Lutheran | | Test results: LAT/tube L1 | |
|-----------|---------------------------------|----|------|---|---|-----|-----|---|----|---|----|-----|-----|-----------------|-----|-----|-------|-----|------|------|-----|-----------------|----|----|---|---|----|----------|-----------------|------------------------------|----|
| Cell # | Rh-hr | D | С | E | ¢ | e | 1 | f | С" | v | K | k | Kp* | Kp ^b | Js. | Ja, | Fy* | Fy* | Jk. | 1k, | Les | Le ^a | м | N | s | s | Pi | La* | Lu ^s | AHG | cc |
| 1 | $\mathbf{R}_{11}\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | + | + | 0 | 0 |) + | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | W+ | NT |
| 2 | R,R, | + | ٠ | 0 | 0 | • | + / | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | 2+ | NT |
| 3 | R ₁ R ₂ | + | 0 | + | + | . 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 2 | 0 | + | 0 | 2+ |
| 4 | R _o r | + | 0 | 0 | + | • • | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | W+ | NI |
| 5 | r'r | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | W+ | NI |
| 6 | r"r | 0 | 0 | + | + | + | F | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | .* | 0 | + | 3+ | NI |
| 7 | m | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | • | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 2 | 0 | + | W+ | NI |
| 8 | rr | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | • • | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | 2 | 0 | + | 2+ | NT |
| 9 | rr | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | • • | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | W+ | NT |
| 10 | rr | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | .* | 0 | + | 3+ | NT |
| 11 | R ₁ R ₁ | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | W+ | NI |
| Patient | t cell | | | | | T | Τ | T | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | W+ | NT |

Reaction scale = 0 (no reaction) to 4+ (strong reaction); S strong, W weak

- Antibodies identified are a warm autoantibody with an e-like specificity and a alloanti-Fya.
- Adsorbed panel helps uncover underlying alloantibody by removing the autoantibody.
- The weak reactions on the adsorbed panel are consistent with baseline remaining unadsorbed autoantibody since the auto control is w+ (ie., not all of the autoantibody could be removed)
- The R2R2 cell (cell#3) lacking Rh(e) antigen reacts weaker than other cells, consistent with antie like specificity of the warm autoantibody.
- The difference between the 2+ and 3+ reactions is due to the dosage effect of the anti-Fya.
- · Fya Neg units are necessary for donor selection and crossmatching
- The patient is R1R1 Kell Neg and considering this in your donor selection is more important than an e Neg donor even though it may lead to a poor transfusion response. At least the patient will not be exposed to forming additional alloantibodies.
- The use of adsorbed plasma can be used for crossmatching to reduce incompatibility with the warm autoantibody or as most compatible < auto using unadsorbed plasma.
- Patient should be sent for genotyping to obtain an accurate phenotype to facilitate detection of clinically significant alloantibodies the patient may develop with future transfusions.

© Springer International Publishing AG, part of Springer Nature 2018 45 M. T. Friedman et al., *Immunohematology and Transfusion Medicine*, p45