## Micro Council Meeting Minutes 1900 6-6-12

Facilitator: Jerry Note taker: Michael Majors

volumes and experience.

Attendees: Jane Mattson, Michael Majors, Ashley Peterschick, Nick Fuller, Tim Hawley, and Phyllis Verduin

Excused: Amanda Bobick , Lynn Weedmark

## Review and sign-off of the minutes is mandatory for all Micro staff prior to next meeting. Deadline 7-18-2012

	Old Business – Reallocat	ion			
Agenda item:	Helper List	Presenter:	Jerry		
Discussion:	The helper list seems to be functioning better since the rec	ent update.			
Agenda item:	Start Times - Adjustments	Presenter:	Jerry		
Discussion:	<ul> <li>Technical needs:</li> <li>The majority of OP specimens need to be concentrated by the beginning of first shift.</li> <li>The person responsible for concentrating AFB specimens and reading smears should be scheduled so they can get the a.m. run and the later CHI run completed.</li> </ul>				
Conclusion:	<ul> <li>preparing trichrome smears.</li> <li>The consensus of the discussion was that the 1<sup>st</sup> shift</li> </ul>	ne consensus of the discussion was that the 1 <sup>st</sup> shift MLT position should be scheduled to ome at 0630 to start AFBs and also work with set ups. 1 <sup>st</sup> shift Lab Assistant set ups should			
Agenda item:	Duties for 1 <sup>st</sup> Shift Set-up and PCR Benches	Presenter:	Jerry		
Discussion:	This seems to be functioning well.				
Agenda item:	Transferring cultures from 2 <sup>nd</sup> shift to 1 <sup>st</sup> shift	Presenter:	Nick		
Reminder:	When leaving cultures for review on 1 <sup>st</sup> shift, please remen of the review was and to initial the log after follow-up.	nber to go back to	o see what	the outcome	
Agenda item:	Storage for Extra AST Disks	Presenter:	Jerry		
Discussion:	The extra AST disks were relocated to a separate conta to check these lot numbers when doing weekly QC to m testing. Also make sure these disks are returned to the	ake sure any ad	ctive lots u		
Agenda item:	QC Documentation – AFB Smears	Presenter:	Jerry		
Reminder:	CAP Checklist item MIC.31650 Fluorescent Stain QC - Phase II Fluorescent stains are checked with positive and ne and results documented.	egative controls	s <u>each tin</u>	<u>ie of use</u>	
Agenda item:	Send out Procedure	Presenter:	Jerry		
Discussion:	Guidelines have been drafted for handling isolates that mu These will be distributed to the department soon.	st be sent out to	reference	aboratories.	
Action items		Person resp	onsible	Deadline	
✓ Review and	edit Send Out Guidelines	Phyllis & Jeri	у	7/18/12	
✓ Distribute a	nd post final version	Michael		7/18/12	
Agenda item:	PCR Workflow	Presenter:	Jerry		
Discussion:	The previous minutes contained a workflow schedule for as a suggested schedule. Individuals may organize the				

## **Old Business – Reallocation**

Agenda item:	CAP Inspection - deficiencies and corrective actions <b>Presenter:</b> Michael			
Checklist item:	MIC.14575 New Reagent Lot Verification Phase II New reagent lots and/or shipments are checked against old reagent lots or with suitable reference material before or concurrently with being placed in service and results are documented.			
Deficiency noted:	New lots and/or shipments are not being checked against old reagent lots.			
Corrective action:	QC protocols were updated for the antigen tests (Cryptococcus, RSV, Flu, and Strep A) and serologic tests (Mono and HIV). Commercial control material was purchased for Flu and RSV. ATCC strains will be used for the Strep A test per the manufacturer's package insert. Cryptococcus antigen and Mono external QC will be performed using previous positive and negative patient samples. External control material was already in use for the HIV test.			
Checklist item:	MIC.19010 Bench Top Decontamination Phase II There is documentation of daily decontamination of bench tops.			
Deficiency noted:	Daily decontamination of bench tops is not documented everyday.			
Corrective action:	Each person will continue to decontaminate their own bench. However, rather than rely on up to 13 individuals to document daily decontamination, 1 individual will be responsible for documentation each day. QC item BNCHCL was created in LIS for daily documentation. The Microbiology Safety Guidelines document was updated to reflect documentation to occur once daily in the computer.			
Checklist item:	MIC.21812 Anaerobic Conditions QC Phase II There is documentation that anaerobic systems ( <i>e.g.</i> jars, chambers, bags) are checked for adequate anaerobic conditions with methylene blue strips, fastidious anaerobic organisms or other appropriate procedures.			
Deficiency noted:	Anaerobic conditions QC - no documentation of anaerobic systems QC.			
Corrective action:	We do check each anaerobe jar with a methylene blue indicator strip. We previously only documented QC <u>failures</u> . We initiated documentation of all QC performed, including both passing and failing results. QC will be documented by shift rather than by each individual jar. QC item, ANAJAR, was created in LIS for documentation. This currently occurs on 2 shifts unless plate reading is not staffed on 2 <sup>nd</sup> shift. The Anoxomat Procedure and the Quality Laboratory Practices document were updated to reflect documentation of acceptable QC results must occur on each shift anaerobic jars are opened. Staff will continue to document details of any QC failures on the jar failure log.			
Checklist item:	MIC.21815 Campylobacter Incubation Conditions QC Phase I Campylobacter incubation conditions (e.g. jars, bags) are checked each time of use with QC organisms or other appropriate methods of validation to ensure adequate environmental conditions to support growth of Campylobacter.			
Deficiency noted:	Campylobacter incubation conditions are not being checked each time of use, no documentation present.			
Corrective action:	We've been checking Campy jars with a control strain since 5/28/2010. However, we have not documented the activity to serve as proof. We initiated documentation of all QC performed, including both passing and failing results. QC will be documented by shift rather than by each individual jar. QC item, CMPJAR, was created in LIS for documentation. This currently occurs on 2 shifts unless plate reading is not staffed on 2 <sup>nd</sup> shift. The Anoxomat Procedure and the Quality Laboratory Practices document were updated to reflect documentation of acceptable QC results must occur on each shift microaerophilic jars are opened. Staff will continue to document details of any QC failures on the jar failure log.			

Chacklist				
Checklist item:	MIC.22110 Unacceptable Sputa Specimens Phase I Unacceptable sputum samples are not cultured (or cultured only by special request) and the health care provider or submitting laboratory is notified so another specimen can be collected without delay, if clinically indicated.			
Deficiency noted:	Unacceptable specimens are not rejected.			
Corrective action:	Poor quality specimens are processed due to the inherent delays in serving a large geographic area and the difficulties of reculturing patients. However, minimal identification and no susceptibility testing of potential pathogens is performed on these poor quality specimens to avoid the reporting of clinically misleading information. The following comment is appended to each gram stain report for unacceptable specimens: Squamous cells in the specimen indicate the presence of superficial material that may contain contaminating or colonizing bacteria unrelated to infection. Collection of another specimen is suggested, avoiding superficial sources of contamination.			
Checklist item:	IMM.30150 Unusual Laboratory Results Phase II There is a documented system in operation to detect and correct significant clerical and analytical errors, and unusual laboratory results, in a timely manner.			
Deficiency noted:	Mono and HIV manual test are not reviewed for clerical errors.			
Corrective action:	In order to provide a "record of review of results," the test logs and procedures for many of the manual tests were updated to incorporate a system where a second tech checks and documents that results have been reviewed for clerical errors. This system was applied to C. diff. PCR, MRSA PCR, Strep B PCR, BD Affirm tests, rapid antigen tests (Flu, RSV, and Strep A), and immunology tests (Mono and HIV). The person that performed the testing is responsible for finding someone to review and initial the results. Note: Clerical checks for all PCR results must be performed in the amplification area. Test logs should not be brought into the main lab to prevent spreading amplification products.			
Checklist item:	IMM.34120 Daily QC - Nonwaived Tests Phase II Controls are run daily for quantitative and qualitative tests.			
Deficiency noted:	Daily internal control checks are not recorded. Test logs need to include a place to document that internal controls were acceptable.			
Corrective action:	While results have never been considered valid or reportable unless internal controls are acceptable, we have not documented internal control results for each test. Test logs have been modified to document acceptability of internal controls.			
	been modified to document acceptability of internal cont	rols.	-	
	Reporting order for VPDNA tests	rols. Presenter:	Jane	
Agenda item:	· · ·	Presenter: ne VPDNA result ort positive value	s. Some people report s first. Concern was	
Agenda item: Discussion:	Reporting order for VPDNA tests There is inconsistency with the order which people report the the results in the same order as the test log and others rep- expressed about forcing everyone to report positives first a	Presenter: ne VPDNA result ort positive value s it increases the as they are listed	s. Some people report s first. Concern was potential for clerical on the test log. The	
Agenda item: Discussion: Conclusion:	Reporting order for VPDNA tests There is inconsistency with the order which people report the the results in the same order as the test log and others repressed about forcing everyone to report positives first a errors. Everyone should report VPDNA results in the same order a	Presenter: ne VPDNA result ort positive value s it increases the as they are listed	s. Some people report s first. Concern was potential for clerical on the test log. The	
Agenda item: Discussion: Conclusion: Agenda item:	Reporting order for VPDNA tests There is inconsistency with the order which people report the the results in the same order as the test log and others rep- expressed about forcing everyone to report positives first a errors. Everyone should report VPDNA results in the same order a reports are still simple enough for clinicians to review and s	Presenter: ne VPDNA result ort positive value s it increases the as they are listed spot the positive i	s. Some people report s first. Concern was potential for clerical on the test log. The results.	
Agenda item: Discussion: Conclusion:	Reporting order for VPDNA tests There is inconsistency with the order which people report the the results in the same order as the test log and others rep- expressed about forcing everyone to report positives first a errors. Everyone should report VPDNA results in the same order a reports are still simple enough for clinicians to review and s 3 <sup>rd</sup> Instrument for BD Affirm Testing	Presenter: ne VPDNA result ort positive value s it increases the as they are listed spot the positive of Presenter:	s. Some people report s first. Concern was potential for clerical on the test log. The results. Tim	
Agenda item: Discussion: Conclusion: Agenda item: Question: Conclusion:	Reporting order for VPDNA tests There is inconsistency with the order which people report the the results in the same order as the test log and others rep- expressed about forcing everyone to report positives first a errors. Everyone should report VPDNA results in the same order a reports are still simple enough for clinicians to review and s 3 <sup>rd</sup> Instrument for BD Affirm Testing Would it help to have a 3 <sup>rd</sup> BD Affirm instrument? We are currently able to accommodate test volumes. We of	Presenter: ne VPDNA result ort positive value s it increases the as they are listed spot the positive of Presenter:	s. Some people report s first. Concern was potential for clerical on the test log. The results. Tim	
Agenda item: Discussion: Conclusion: Agenda item: Question: Conclusion:	Reporting order for VPDNA tests There is inconsistency with the order which people report the the results in the same order as the test log and others rep- expressed about forcing everyone to report positives first a errors. Everyone should report VPDNA results in the same order a reports are still simple enough for clinicians to review and s 3 <sup>rd</sup> Instrument for BD Affirm Testing Would it help to have a 3 <sup>rd</sup> BD Affirm instrument? We are currently able to accommodate test volumes. We do instrument if the need increases.	Presenter: ne VPDNA result ort positive value s it increases the as they are listed spot the positive in Presenter: can reassess the Presenter:	s. Some people report s first. Concern was potential for clerical on the test log. The results. Tim need for another Jane	
Agenda item: Discussion: Conclusion: Agenda item: Question: Conclusion: Agenda item: Question:	Reporting order for VPDNA tests There is inconsistency with the order which people report the the results in the same order as the test log and others rep- expressed about forcing everyone to report positives first a errors. Everyone should report VPDNA results in the same order a reports are still simple enough for clinicians to review and s 3 <sup>rd</sup> Instrument for BD Affirm Testing Would it help to have a 3 <sup>rd</sup> BD Affirm instrument? We are currently able to accommodate test volumes. We of instrument if the need increases. Critical Value Reporting	Presenter: the VPDNA result ort positive value s it increases the as they are listed spot the positive of Presenter: can reassess the Presenter: hting results in LI	s. Some people report s first. Concern was potential for clerical on the test log. The results. Tim need for another Jane S?	
Agenda item: Discussion: Conclusion: Agenda item: Question: Conclusion: Agenda item:	Reporting order for VPDNA tests There is inconsistency with the order which people report the the results in the same order as the test log and others rep- expressed about forcing everyone to report positives first a errors. Everyone should report VPDNA results in the same order a reports are still simple enough for clinicians to review and s 3 <sup>rd</sup> Instrument for BD Affirm Testing Would it help to have a 3 <sup>rd</sup> BD Affirm instrument? We are currently able to accommodate test volumes. We do instrument if the need increases. Critical Value Reporting Should we enter "Critical value reported to:" when document Since we call critical values, alert values for contact precau	Presenter: the VPDNA result ort positive value s it increases the as they are listed spot the positive of Presenter: can reassess the Presenter: hting results in LI	s. Some people report s first. Concern was potential for clerical on the test log. The results. Tim need for another Jane S?	

Question:	Can we keep the bench lights in a horizontal position? Some p them to make adjustments.	eople have	a hard time reaching		
Conclusion:	Yes. If the lights are adjusted to a vertical position by someone horizontal when leaving the bench.	e, they MUS <sup>-</sup>	FBE moved back to		
Agenda item:	Micro Council Reps	Presenter:	Jerry		
Discussion:	Is it necessary to change any of the positions since some peop were elected to represent?	le are no lon	ger on the shift they		
Conclusion:	The current representative will remain on the council until the n	ext election	cycle in October.		
Agenda item:	Leaving low census	Presenter:	Nick		
Discussion:	Since second shift positions may overlap with 3 <sup>rd</sup> shift, who should people check with before leaving low census?				
Conclusion:	People should check with the charge/lead tech on their own sh	ift.			
This	<b>R&amp;D Status Update</b> s section includes a summary of Microbiology projects that a	are currently	v underway.		
Project:	MIDI HPLC testing for the identification of <i>Mycobacterium</i> & My	-			
Status:	Training delayed during the CAP inspection. Michael requested help from Jerry and the schedulers to determine a new training schedule.				
Project:	Caspofungin disk diffusion testing for <i>Candida</i> species				
Status:	On hold - Caspofungin testing is useful for isolates that are azole-resistant. There are CLSI standards for performing caspofungin disk diffusion, similar to what we currently perform for fluconazole and voriconazole. However, the disks are not currently commercially available. Research use only disks were obtained from Merck. A validation study was completed using past CAP strains and clinical isolates previously tested by MIC at ARUP. Disk diffusion testing with these isolates correlated well with expected results. After follow up discussion with Merck, they have agreed to support commercial production of the disks through BD. There is not yet an estimated time for availability. However, we may be able to begin testing once the manufacturer establishes product stability.				
Project:	Germ tube plasma				
Status:	Human plasma is getting difficult to obtain from the blood bank. Commercial sources for bovine plasma are being considered. Products from BD and Hardy will be evaluated.				
Project:	PYR Reagent				
Status:	PML will no longer be manufacturing the PYR reagent we use. The same formula will be produced by Key Scientific. Other manufacturers are being considered. Sample product from Hardy Diagnostics was requested. Hardy's product is about half the cost of the product we currently order.				
Project:	Helicobacter pylori Susceptibility Testing				
Status:	We would like to evaluate the possibility of performing AST for isolates for testing. Please give positive CLOtests to Michael, or incubate at 35°C in a microaerophilic jar.				
	· · ·				

moving all of the supplies back in after it was fixed. Thank you Michael for stepping in for Jerry during the CAP inspection! You did a great job!

## Next Meeting

Date: Wednesday, July 18

Time:

1900