TRAINING UPDATE

Lab Location: Department: GEC, SGAH & WAH Mgmt & QA
 Date Distributed:
 8/7/2014

 Due Date:
 8/27/2014

 Implementation:
 8/27/2014

DESCRIPTION OF PROCEDURE REVISION

Name of procedure:

Focus Review GEC / SGAH / WAH.QA15 v2

Focus Review form AG.F137.1

Description of change(s):

Section 1: refined the purpose

Section 2: added regional laboratory director, replaced QA supervisor with senior QA specialist.

Section 3: replaced QA supervisor with senior QA specialist

Section 4: revised definition of form to include reporting audit findings

Section 5: streamlined actions, removed item B (redundant) and renumbered

This revised SOP and form will be implemented on August 27, 2014

Document your compliance with this training update by taking the quiz in the MTS system.

Approved draft for training all sites (version 2)

Non-Technical SOP			
Title	Focus Review		
Prepared by	Leslie Barrett	Date: 4/22/2009	
Owner	Cynthia Bowman-Gholston	Date: 4/22/2009	

Laboratory Approval		
Print Name and Title	Signature	Date
Refer to the electronic signature page for		
approval and approval dates.		
Local Issue Date:	Local Effective Date:	

Review:		
Print Name	Signature	Date

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	PURPOSE	. 3
	SCOPE	
3.	RESPONSIBILITY	. 3
4.	DEFINITIONS	. 3
5.	PROCEDURE	. 4
6.	RELATED DOCUMENTS	. 5
7.	REFERENCES	. 5
8.	REVISION HISTORY	. 5
9.	ADDENDA AND APPENDICES	. 5

1. PURPOSE

This procedure provides a concise, readable reporting structure for audits, reviews, and special studies. The Laboratory Performance Improvement Committee (LPIC) utilizes these reports to measure and develop process improvements for patient care.

2. SCOPE

A Focus Review may be requested by the LPIC, regional laboratory director, senior QA specialist, laboratory manager, department supervisor, or medical director.

3. **RESPONSIBILITY**

All laboratory staff is required to understand this procedure and may participate in the process as assigned.

The senior QA specialist is responsible for content and review of this procedure.

4. **DEFINITIONS**

Focus Review - A reporting tool to provide structure to reviews and facilitate clear reporting of audits and analysis of selected processes, procedures, or policies that impact patient care. The report measures dimensions of care against established thresholds; and helps evaluate levels of performance, by creating recommendations for process changes to improve performance.

LPIC – Laboratory Performance Improvement Committee, a standing committee to monitor the quality and performance of the laboratory.

Threshold - minimal acceptable level of service

5. **PROCEDURE**

- A. Obtain a WORD version of the Focus Review form from Smart Solve. (Listed in the Related Documents section 6.)
- B. Complete the Focus Review form with the following information:

1. General Information

- Title of the report
- Section area or department for which the review is done
- Reviewer person(s) who gathered or analyzed data
- Date of the report

2. Indicator/Functional Measurement

- Define the indicator to be monitored.
- This is a measurable variable relating to the structure, process or outcome of service that is being reviewed.

3. Objective

- This statement indicates what is to be accomplished by conducting the focus review.
- Include threshold values where appropriate. Threshold values may reflect national metrics, may be arbitrarily set then tested, or may not be available prior to the review and thus will be determined upon completion of the review.

4. Method of Review

- Concisely describe the method used to collect and organize the data
- Include dates of the study and the type of data collected.

5. Findings

- Summarize the data. Tabulate and organize the data in a practical and understandable manner.
- Allow the data to provide the findings and conclusion.
- Attach summary of data and any graphic analyses to the Focus Review form if necessary.

6. Assessment

- Analyze findings and describe what can be concluded from the data.
- List any conclusions regarding quality of care.

7. Recommendations

- List any recommended actions that would lead to improved performance.
- C. Route the draft Focus Review to the senior QA specialist, regional laboratory director, medical director, and the section supervisor/manager prior to the LPIC meeting.

- D. Present findings of the focus review to the LPIC. The LPIC will determine if the review is complete and direct the subsequent reports or cessation.
- E. Submit the final report for signatures from the following: the Reviewer, the section Supervisor/Manager, the senior QA specialist, and the Medical Director.
- F. File completed Focus review reports and data with the LPIC meeting minutes for the month in which the report was presented.

6. **RELATED DOCUMENTS**

Quality Management (QM) Plan Focus Review form (AG.F137)

7. **REFERENCES**

None

8. **REVISION HISTORY**

Version	Date	Reason for Revision	Revised By	Approve d By
		Supersedes SOP QA204.01		
000	9/24/11	Section 5: item C.1 added, section renumbered, delete extraneous information. Add item D. Revised item F to reflect changes to form Section 9: Form revised	L Barrett	C. Bowman
001	7/15/14	Section 1: refined the purpose Section 2: added regional laboratory director, replaced QA supervisor with senior QA specialist. Section 3: replaced QA supervisor with senior QA specialist Section 4: revised definition of form to include reporting audit findings Section 5: streamlined actions, removed item B (redundant) and renumbered Section 6: moved form from section 9 Footer: version # leading zero's dropped due to new EDCS in use as of 10/7/13.	C. Bowman	C. Bowman

9. ADDENDA AND APPENDICES None



Germantown Emergency Center

Shady Grove Adventist Hospital

Washington Adventist Hospital

Adventist Healthcare Laboratories Performance Improvement Committee FOCUS REVIEW

TITLE:

SECTION:

REVIEWER:

DATE:

INDICATOR/FUNCTIONAL MEASUREMENT

Define the measurable variable relating to the structure, process or outcome of service that is being reviewed.

OBJECTIVE State the goal of the review. Include expected threshold where appropriate.

METHOD OF REVIEW Describe the method used to collect and organize the data into a usable format. Dates of study and type of data collected should be clearly described.

FINDINGS *Present summary of data. Attach summary if necessary.*

ASSESSMENT Describe what is learned from the data. What conclusions regarding quality of care can be reached?

RECOMMENDED ACTIONS

Define an action that would lead to improved performance.

STATUS:	Close MonitorAdd to on-going review list	Present follow-up by:
SIGNATUR	ES: Reviewer	
	Section Supvr/ Mgr	
	Sr. QA Specialist	
	Medical Director	