TRAINING UPDATE

Lab Location: Department: GEC, SGMC & WAH Mgmt & QA

Date Distributed: 11
Due Date: 11
Implementation: 12

11/19/2015 11/30/2015 **12/1/2015**

DESCRIPTION OF PROCEDURE REVISION

Name of procedure:

Internal Proficiency Testing Policy GEC / SGAH / WAH.QA18 v2
Internal Proficiency Testing by Referee Lab Worksheet AG.F185.1
Internal Proficiency Testing by Direct Observation Worksheet AG.F186.1

Description of change(s):

SOP:

Section 3: update job title

Section 4: update PT database

Section 5: remove chart with alternative listing

Section 6: forms moved from section 9

FORMS: update logo & SG facility name

The revised SOP and Forms will be implemented on December 1, 2015

Document your compliance with this training update by taking the quiz in the MTS system.

Quest Diagnostics Site: GEC, SGAH & WAH

Approved draft for training (version 2)

Non-Technical SOP

Title	Internal Proficiency Testing Policy	
Prepared by	Leslie Barrett	Date: 7/20/2009
Owner	Cynthia Bowman-Gholston	Date: 7/20/2009

Laboratory Approval		
Print Name and Title	Signature	Date
Refer to the electronic signature page for approval and approval dates.		
Local Issue Date:	Local Effective Date:	

Review:		
Print Name	Signature	Date

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	PURPOSE	. 2
2.	SCOPE	. 2
	RESPONSIBILITY	
	DEFINITIONS	
	PROCEDURE	
	RELATED DOCUMENTS	
	REFERENCES	
	REVISION HISTORY	
	ADDENDA AND APPENDICES	
· ·	1.00.021, 10.11,	

1. PURPOSE

This procedure describes an alternate system to assess the accuracy and precision of laboratory results for analytes not evaluated with commercially available Proficiency Testing (PT) materials.

2. SCOPE

Applies to any analyte or test without commercially available Proficiency Testing (PT) materials.

3. RESPONSIBILITY

- Test performance is rotated among all staff.
- Specimen procurement, coordination of testing and evaluation of results are the responsibility of each section Supervisor.
- The supervisor or QA staff enters results in the PT database.
- The QA senior specialist supervisor is responsible for content and review of this procedure.

4. **DEFINITIONS**

Proficiency Testing (PT) – A means to determine that test methods are performing as expected through outcomes for predetermined standards.

PT database– Excel spreadsheet utilized to track all aspects of external and internal Proficiency Testing, including:

- Applicable survey descriptions and codes
- Ship date, if applicable
- Receipt date
- Due date for results to be submitted

- Actual date results are submitted
- Date CAP evaluation of results is received, if applicable
- Participating techs
- Number of results/tests performed
- Number of results/tests correct
- Calculated percent of correct results
- Explanation of variances/failures

5. PROCEDURE

A. General Information

- 1. For analytes not evaluated through the College of American Pathologists (CAP) or another approved PT provider, an internal system of assessment will be performed at a minimum of twice per year.
- 2. Internal PT complements the external PT program by:
 - a. Ensuring the quality of the laboratory performance for all testing.
 - b. Providing blind samples to challenge staff performance.
- Laboratory leadership will perform appropriate documentation and review of results. The procedure and evaluation of results are defined within the specific laboratory sections.
- 4. This internal assessment may use the following methods:
 - a. Exchange samples with another accredited laboratory.
 - b. Use split specimens and send to a reference laboratory.
 - c. Blind testing of specimens with known results.
 - d. For labile specimens have multiple technologists perform analysis.
 - e. Direct observation of test performance.

B. Testing Instructions

- 1. The laboratory initiating the testing is the "performing" lab. The laboratory that repeats the test is the "referee" lab.
- 2. Only one testing challenge is required per event, but more challenges may be performed at the supervisor's discretion.
- 3. The test is performed and results recorded by the performing lab on the Internal Proficiency Testing by Referee Lab Worksheet (see attached). The specimen, or preferably an aliquot of the specimen, and worksheet are forwarded to the referee lab.
- 4. The referee lab performs the testing and records results. If testing is performed at SGMC or WAH, any discrepancies must be brought to the attention of the referee laboratory supervisor.
- 5. For assessment by direct observation, document performance for each person observed on the competency assessment form. One half of all testing personnel will be evaluated every six months. A summary of observations will be compiled on the internal Proficiency Testing by Direct Observation Worksheet (see attached). Include the number of observations performed and the number assessed as acceptable. Attach copies of the competency assessment forms.

C. Evaluation of Results

- 1. The performing lab supervisor reviews the PT results.
 - a. Utilize the Total Allowable Error (TEa) for quantitative tests.
 - b. Performance expectations established by method validation or manufacturer's studies, or national performance standards may be utilized if applicable.
- 2. The performing lab also investigates any discrepancies. Investigation should include, but is not limited to, repeat testing, review of Quality Control and Training/Competency records, Preventative Maintenance records, SOP, and previous patient results. Additional testing and consultation with the technical manager and the medical director may be necessary to resolve test result discrepancies.
- 3. All results including discrepancy investigations are routed to the section manager and medical director for review and signature. Refer to Proficiency Test Results Evaluation procedure.
- 4. A copy of the result and ALL supporting documentation is filed in the CAP survey notebook(s). The supervisor or QA tech enters these results in the PT database.

D. Alternate Proficiency Testing: Tests and PT Method

Test	Referee Site WAH	Referee Site SGAH	Referee Site Reference Lab	Blind Testing/Multiple Technologists	Direct Observation
Phlebotomy					
Bleeding Time	Phlebotomy supervisor or designee	Phlebotomy supervisor or designee			X

6. RELATED DOCUMENTS

Proficiency Test Handling and Result Submission **Proficiency Test Results Evaluation** Competency Assessment Internal Proficiency Testing by Referee Lab Worksheet (AG.F185) Internal Proficiency Testing by Direct Observation Worksheet (AG.F186)

7. REFERENCES

CAP Laboratory General Checklist (www.cap.org).

8. REVISION HISTORY

Version	Date	Reason for Revision	Revised By	Approved By
		Supersedes SOP QA003.004		
000	8/13/12	Section 5: correct form titles added to Part B	L. Barrett	C. Bowman
		Section 6: update titles		
		Section 9: update title, add Direct Observation form		
001	10/26/15	Section 3: update job title	L. Barrett	C. Bowman
		Section 4: update PT database		
		Section 5: remove chart with alternative listing		
		Section 6: forms moved from section 9		
		Footer: version # leading zero's dropped due to new		
		EDCS in use as of 10/7/13.		

9. ADDENDA AND APPENDICES

None

Internal Proficiency Testing by Referee Lab Worksheet (see Attachment tab of Infocard)
Internal Proficiency Testing by Direct Observation Worksheet (see Attachment tab of Infocard)



Germantown Emergency Center
Shady Grove Medical Center
Washington Adventist Hospital

Internal Proficiency Testing by Referee Lab

Performing Lab completes this section		
Analyte: Method	dology:	
Date of Original Result:		
Date Sent for PT:		
Site of Original Result (Performing Lab):		
Referee Lab for PT: SGMC WAH Tech(s) Performing PT:	☐ GEC ☐ Reference Lab ☐ Pathologist	
Performing Lab Results:		
Referee Lab completes this section		
·		
Date Rec'd:		
Date tested:		
Results:	Tech:	
Evaluation:	□ FAIL	
Corrective Action Plan for Failures (to be comple	eted by performing lab):	
Supervisor:	Date:	
Administrative Director:	Date:	
QA Specialist:	Date:	
Lab Medical Director:	Date:	

AG.F185.1 Rev 10/27/15



Germantown Emergency Center
Shady Grove Medical Center
Washington Adventist Hospital

Internal Proficiency Testing by Direct Observation

Test / Analyte:	Methodology:
Site (Performing Lab): □ SGMC □	□ WAH □ GEC
Tech(s) / Staff performing PT:	
Direct observation performed by: Summary data:	
# of observations performed: # of observations correct:	,
Reviewed by: Results: □ PASS □ FAIL	
Corrective Action Plan (for failures):	
Supervisor:	Date:
Administrative Director:	Date:
QA Specialist:	Date:
Lab Medical Director:	Date:

AG.F186.1 Rev 10/27/15