| Yale-New Haven Hospital | TITLE: Proficiency Testing | Policy | DEPT OF LAB MEDICINE Immunology, Flow Cytometry, and Molecular Diagnostics Laboratories DOCUMENT IMM90 Page 1 of 7 |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| WRITTEN BY: Teodorico Lee | EFFECTIVE DATE: November 13, 2001 | REVISION: August 30, 2012 | SUPERCEDES: |
| Penny Smith | 140 veiniber 13, 2001 | 114gast 50, 2012 | |
| Ben Davidson | | | |

POLICY FOR TESTING OF CAP PROFICIENCY SAMPLES

Note: Testing of all CAP proficiency samples will be performed by YNHH. At no time are samples sent to reference laboratories for testing. Test results of CAP proficiency samples are not shared with any outside laboratories.

A. Processing

When CAP proficiency samples arrive in the laboratory the chief technologist, assistant chief technologist, coordinator or Technologist B will process as follows:

- 1. Fill out the CAP Proficiency testing form (Doc# IMM 90-A). Enter the survey name and the received date. List all samples and the tests to be performed on those samples. This form will be used as a requisition to accession the samples.
- 2. Accession requisitions as per established laboratory policy.
 - Last Name CAP, First name Sample # (ex CAP, M-04)
 - -DOB 1/1/1901
 - -Ward, type either PROFY or 00026 (courtesy)
 - -Physician, type 659 (Dr. Smith)
- 3. Track collection labels. Place collection label on CAP form and aliquot labels on correct tube types.
- 4. Aliquot samples and distribute to correct racks for testing. Follow storage guidelines given in the CAP instructions that accompany the survey.
- 5. If survey is to be shared with another YNHH laboratory, copy the CAP documents and forward the samples to the appropriate lab.
- 6. Refrigerate the original bottles in a bag labeled with the CAP name and received date.

 After testing laboratory technologists should place the sample aliquots tested in the same bag. After results have been entered, samples are frozen at -20.

B. <u>Testing</u>

- 1. A CAP analyte is tested, by the technologist scheduled to perform the test that week. An effort will be made when possible to distribute CAP samples so that the same technologist does not perform all the proficiency samples for that test in a given year.
- 2. The technologist will make any necessary preparatory work rehydration, etc., as is necessary to run that analyte following CAP directions listed on the survey form.
- 3. The results are entered into the LIS computer by the testing technologist. Samples should be placed in the refrigerator until after results are reported.
- 4. After reporting, all samples are frozen at -20°C until the survey results have come back. If no investigative work needs to be done, the samples are discarded after 1 year.

C. Reporting

- 1. The Assistant Chief Technologist, Laboratory Manager or Coordinator will print LIS reports for all samples. These reports are used to enter results into the resulting forms located on the CAP website.
- 2. The information sheet (Doc# IMM 90-B) is filled out listing the survey name, date and tests, this form will serve as the attestation page. The technologist performing each test must sign this form.
- 3. The Laboratory Director signs the original survey signature page. In his absence the Laboratory Manager or Assistant Chief Technologist will take responsibility for signing the survey signature page(s). These names are entered electronically onto the CAP survey report sheet.
- 4. The survey is electronically approved on the CAP website. Before approval a copy is electronically saved.

D. Reviewing of Survey Results

1. When the survey results come back they are given to the Department Chair who then reviews the results. If all results are acceptable, the form will be initialed and dated. This will be given to the Laboratory Manager to review and sign and then to the Assistant Chief Technologist or Coordinator. Documentation of the performing technologist is kept and is used to evaluate technical competency. A CAP Action Report (DOC# IMM 90-C) is filled out and a discussion of discrepant results is held by the Director, Manager, and Assistant Chief Technologist on all surveys. Those analytes on which there is no grade or consensus are evaluated by reviewing the Participant Summary Report. Results that are inconsistent with the majority of laboratories participating in the survey are treated as "unacceptable".

- 2. If there are any "unacceptable" results the Assistant Chief Technologist will use the Corrective Action Check List (Doc# IMM 90-E) to collect all information regarding that run (controls, ranges, etc.) and ask that the sample be re-tested. If needed, another sample will be purchased from CAP.
- 3. All results of the investigation are entered on to the CAP Action Report and are reviewed with the Laboratory Director. A copy of the report is kept in the testing Laboratory and in the office of the Compliance officer. All repeat violations that require CAP notification are forwarded to CAP by the Department of Lab Medicine's Compliance officer. The Laboratory Manager and Assistant Manager will evaluate each case to see if action is needed to prevent future errors. For example, Technologist retraining, process change, etc.
- 4. A signed copy of the CAP Evaluation, along with the CAP Proficiency testing form and the Information sheet are kept in the CAP binder in the Assistant Chief Technologist's office. CAP Evaluation reports and Participant summary reports are also kept electronically.

E. Adding and Deleting from CAP Activity List

lab.

| 2012061514556 File Edit View Do | 1921/21 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | | i |
|---|---|--|----------------------------------|--|---|
| 6 | 7 | <u> </u> | Pind | | |
| | Advancts | | | Maintenance For | m |
| | CAP# | | 06 | ur CMS regulatory zeporting selection | ns for proficiency |
| | A testir | ng. For CAP profice foy testing participants, a second of the Calling BOO. | urrent CMS Analyte | Reporting Selections report can be vi | ewed online |
| | Do n | iof use this form to order or cancel proficiency to not use this form to make changes to method co nake the above changes call 809-323-4040, opt | des for proficiency to ion 1. | esting surveys. | |
| *************************************** | | form is for CAP accredited laboratories to make the CAP Master Activity Menu to locate activity | \ \ | tivity/testing menu. | |
| | Add/Delete | Test/Activity | Activity Code | Lab/Dept Section | Effective Date M M / D D |
| | Add Delete | Iron | 1 5 2 7 | Chemistry | 0 6 / 0 3 |
| *************************************** | Add/Delete | Test/Activity DUA Content and Cell Cycle | Activity Code | Lab/Dept Section Flew Cytometry | Effective Date |
| 6 0 | ○ Add ○ Delete ○ Delete ○ Add | | | | / |
| *************************************** | | | | and the second s | |
| | | | | #1. Fill out - YN follows: 117720 | HH's AU # is listed as |
| #2 Fill out | CAP#c | can be found listed in the CA | P PT docum | ents | |
| #3. Fill ou | ıt Add/D | elete | | ere and the second seco | |
| #4 Fill out | Test/Ac | tivity Name | | | |
| #5 Fill ou Lab Accre | t the act | ivity Code: you can find on, lower right click Lab Ac | out by looki tivity list | ng in the CAP websi | te (cap.org), click vity menu for main |

F. ANALYTES NOT COVERED BY CAP PROFICIENCY TESTING

For analytes that are not covered by CAP proficiency testing, alternate proficiency is performed at least biannually. The analytes and the method for accessing proficiency are listed below. The Medical Director reviews all results to determine acceptability.

Participation in the reagent manufactures proficiency program

CH50

A proficiency program provided by Diasorin is used. Samples are received 3 times a year and are overseen by the Assistant Chief Technologist. They are processed, tested, and reviewed the same as a CAP proficiency sample.

Free Kappa & Lambda Light Chain

Proficiency testing for these analytes is provided by the Binding Site's "Improve Quality Assurance Scheme" program. These samples, both urine (twice a year) and serum (4 times a year) are overseen by the Assistant Chief Technologist. Both serum and urine samples are assayed for IFE and kappa free and lambda free light chains. They are processed, tested and reviewed the same as a CAP proficiency sample.

Split sample analysis with reference or other laboratories

Anti Platelet Antibody, Indirect

Samples purchased from ARUP Laboratories.

DNase B

Samples sent to Quest Laboratories

Cold Agglutinins

Samples sent to ARUP Laboratories

Cryoglobulins

Samples sent to ARUP Laboratories

H.pylori IgM

Samples sent to Mayo Laboratories

ADAMTS13 Activity w/ Reflex to Inhibitor

Samples sent to Western Pennsylvania Hospital

Reticulocyte Platelet Assay

Split samples are run by two technologists.

Blinded sample analysis

Cyclin D1

Blinded patient samples are run biannually by the technologists as a means of performing quality control and proficiency testing. Blinded samples (if possible, normal, abnormal, and intermediates) should be made and kept current. When complete, results are then compared to the expected results from prior testing and must fall within 2SD.

Acute Lymphocytic Leukemia Screen

Blinded patient samples are run biannually by the technologists as a means of performing quality control and proficiency testing. Blinded samples (if possible, normal, abnormal, and intermediates) should be made and kept current. When complete, results are then compared to the expected results from prior testing and must fall within 2SD.

INV16 Quantitation

Blinded patient samples are run biannually by the technologists as a means of performing quality control and proficiency testing. Blinded samples (if possible, normal, abnormal, and intermediates) should be made and kept current. When complete, results are then compared to the expected results from prior testing and must fall within 2SD.

AML-ETO t(8,21) Quantitation

Blinded patient samples are run biannually by the technologists as a means of performing quality control and proficiency testing. Blinded samples (if possible, normal, abnormal, and intermediates) should be made and kept current. When complete, results are then compared to the expected results from prior testing and must fall within 2SD.

At the Laboratory Directors discretion an alternative reference labs can used. Also other methods which may be utilized include:

- Split samples with an established in-house method (blinded)
- Clinical validation by chart review

Non-CAP proficiency testing should be treated as closely to CAP proficiency testing as possible. All results of alternate proficiency testing are reviewed by the Medical Director for acceptability and documented in the Non-CAP Proficiency binder. Results of the survey with documentation of the Director's Review with signature are kept in this binder as well. These results are also used for assessment of technical competency.

Appendix

| Document Name | Document Number | Use |
|------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| CAP Proficiency testing form | Doc# IMM 90-A | Accession CAP samples |
| Information sheet | Doc# IMM 90-B | Special Handling form |
| CAP Response form | Doc# IMM 90-C | Response to incorrect CAP PT |
| Non-CAP Proficiency form | Doc# IMM 90-D | Log of non-CAP PT results |
| Corrective Action Checklist | Doc# IMM 90-E | Incorrect CAP PT |
| | | documentation form |
| CAP Activity List | Doc# IMM 90-F | List of all CAP PT |

CAP PROFICIENCY TESTING

Doc IMM 90-A

| SURVEY: | | DATE: |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|
| CAP NUMBER: | TEST: | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| PROCESSING: | | |
| TESTING: SEE ATTACHED INFOSHEET | | |
| <u>REPORTING</u> : | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| REVIEW: | | |
| | | |

<u>COMMENTS</u>:

CAP Information Form

Doc IMM 90-B

College of American Pathologists

Rec'd:

We the undersigned, recognizing that some special handling may be required due to the nature of proficiency testing materials, have as closely as is practical, performed the analyses on these specimens in the same manner as regular patient samples.

| | T4 | T | ech | Results | Comments |
|---------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|------|---------|----------|
| Cap# | Test | | SOIL | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | , . | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | - | | | | |
| | | | | | . 1 |
| | | | | | |
| , | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | -11 | |
| • | | · | | | |
| | | | 1 | | |
| | | | | 1 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | - | | , | | |
| | | | - | | |
| | | · | | | |
| i , | <u>.</u> | · | | | |
| | | | | | |

CAP Response FormDoc# IMM 90-C

| | · |
|---|--|
| Today's Date | |
| Survey | Date Mailed |
| Laboratory | Manager/Director |
| Test | |
| Comments from Brian Smith, MD: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Response: | , |
| | |
| | |
| | 1 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| If an action report necessary, please | attach a copy of the report. |
| Please respond to the above comments your response, let Brian know that the | s from Dr. Smith within 4 weeks. If you are not complete with re will be a delay. Thank you. |
| Send response to Rita Napierkowski | |

ran capresponse09.doc

Rita Napreme Lab Medicine

Non-CAP Proficiency Testing Form Document # IMM90-D

Test:

| Date: | | |
|-------------------|----------|--------------|
| | Sample 1 | Sample 2 |
| | Sample i | Sample 2 |
| | | |
| Order # | | |
| | | |
| Source of sample | | |
| _ | | |
| | | |
| YNHH Result | | |
| | | |
| Reference Lab/ | - | |
| Result | | |
| Acceptable Yes/No | | |
| Acceptable les/No | | |
| | | |
| Comments | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Manager/Date | Di | rector/ Date |

CAP SURVEY RESULTS OUTSIDE ACCEPTABLE LIMITS DOCUMENTATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION Document IMM90-E

| NAME OF SURVEY | SURVE | Y # | REPORT | DATE | EVAL DATE |
|---------------------|-----------|-----|------------|--|-----------|
| | | | | | |
| RI | ESULT (S) | OU | rside Acce | PTABLE | LIMITS |
| Result | | Me | an | | S.D.I. |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Check for Transcrip | tion | | | | |
| Errors | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Check for Calculati | on Err | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Check for Analysis | Frrore | | | | |
| Check for Analysis | EIIOIS | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Check QC Data | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Check Instrument Ca | librat | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Rerun Specimen (if | possib | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Marine Ma | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | |

Reviewed by: _____ Date: ____

Document Authors

Teodorico Lee Penny Smith Ben Davidson August 30, 2012

Title: Proficiency Testing Policy Document #: IMM 90

Signature Approval for Annual Review

| Name (Print) | Title | Sionature | Date of Review | Revision Page and Section # (Use Procedure Review Log to | Issue Date for Training | Effective Date for |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Manne (1 1 mil) | | A Inamedia | | document staff review) | if Applicable | Use |
| TEODORICO LEE | LAB MANAGER | Yesdorico dec | 8/10/09 | New Signature Page. | N/A | 08/10/09 |
| BRIAN SMITH | LAB DIRECTOR | Bur R. Pomth Mo | 8/21/09 | New Signature Page. | N/A | 08/10/09 |
| TEODORICO LEE | LAB MANAGER | Yesteria de | 10/25/10 | | N/A | 10/26/10 |
| BRIAN SMITH | LAB DIRECTOR | Buga R Som to Mos | | | N/A | 10/26/10 |
| TEODORICO LEE | LAB MANAGER | Geodorica dec | 10/25/11 | Changed name to Proficiency Testing Policy and included section on Non-CAP proficiency | N/A | 10/24/11 |
| BRIAN SMITH | LAB DIRECTOR | Buan R. Amith Mo | 10/25/11 | testing. Retired policy for Analytes Not Covered by CAP. Updated process for accessioning. Added no referral and no result sharing comment. | N/A | 10/24/11 |
| TEODORICO LEE | LAB | Mardonin Lee | 2/1/11 | Consolidated Proficiency Testing Policies | N/A | 10/11/12 |
| BRIAN SMITH | LAB | 1 | 1/5//01 | from Immunology, Flow Cytometry and Molecular Diagnostics. Section added on | N/A | 10/11/12 |
| JOHN G HOWE | LAB | M. Herre | 1/h/h | adding and deleting from the CAP activity | N/A | 10/11/12 |
| RICHARD TORRES | LAB DIRECTOR | Land | 11/0/11 | list. Document E added to aid in investigating incorrect CAP results. | N/A | 10/11/12 |
| | | ~ | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |