

# Laboratory Medicine – February 2023

---




March 22, 2023

# Bridgeport and Milford Campuses Turnaround Time Goals




---

- Mean determined from median TAT across the Yale New Haven Health System delivery networks
  - Bridgeport and Milford Campuses – Bridgeport Hospital, Greenwich Hospital, Lawrence Memorial Hospital, Westerly Hospital, St. Raphael and York Street Campus – Yale New Haven Hospital and Shoreline Medical Center
- Standard Deviation calculated
- 2 SD Range established and represents the upper and lower limits
  - If data set within control range, no corrective actions are necessary




# Bridgeport Campus – Procalcitonin



# Bridgeport Campus – Gen 5 Troponin TAT




# Bridgeport Campus – Basic Metabolic Panel (BMP) ED TAT



# Chemistry & Immunology

FY 2023 Critical Result Documentation % Compliance






	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
n	1415	1425	1418	1509	1241							
#compliant	1393	1415	1405	1493	1232							
#noncompliant	22	10	13	16	9							




no name	7	1	1	6	4							
no full name	8	4	1	0	1							
no title	4	4	1	7	2							
incorrect doc	1	1	10	2	2							
incorrect person	2			1								

4 of the 9 are same tech  
Each outlier was addressed with individual tech.

# Bridgeport Campus – Lyme Screens TAT






# Bridgeport Campus – Complete Blood Count (CBC) ED TAT








# Bridgeport Campus – PTINR ED TAT




# Bridgeport Campus – D-dimer ED TAT






# Aspect of Care


Corrected results-Hematology



# Aspect of Care






# Aspect of Care





# Bridgeport Campus – Type and Screen ED TAT







# Bridgeport Hospital Blood Bank RBC

---

	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Total Amount
Transfusion	449	440	410	394	380	\$550,381.50
Wasted	4	5	7	8	5	\$7,699.50
Total	453	445	417	402	385	\$558,081.00

# Bridgeport Hospital Blood Bank Cryo

---

	<b>Oct</b>	<b>Nov</b>	<b>Dec</b>	<b>Jan</b>	<b>Feb</b>	<b>Total Amount</b>
Transfusion	8	11	16	21	20	\$25,194.00
Wasted	2	2	0	1	0	\$1,657.50
<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>\$26,188.50</b>

# Bridgeport Campus FFP

---

	<b>Oct</b>	<b>Nov</b>	<b>Dec</b>	<b>Jan</b>	<b>Feb</b>	<b>Total Amount</b>
Transfusion	52	50	35	36	36	\$55,489.50
Wasted*	22	11	27	24	18	\$27,081.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>\$82,570.50</b>

\*Due to ACS Trauma Requirements

## Platelet Utilization

	<b>Oct</b>	<b>Nov</b>	<b>Dec</b>	<b>Jan</b>	<b>Feb</b>	<b>Total Amount</b>
Transfusion	48	39	61	65	68	\$189,205.73
Wasted	27	36	19	32	12	\$84,839.58
Total	75	75	80	97	80	\$274,045.31
% wasted	36%	48%	24%	33%	15%	
Wasted/Day	0.87	1.2	0.63	1.07	0.43	\$565.40

Number of Extended Plts	38	44	53	48	26	\$140,725.97
Number Transfused	16	20	27	18	19	\$67,333.00
Number Discarded	22	24	26	30	7	\$73,392.97

# Bridgeport Campus – 2023 Point of Care Performance Report Summary

MONTH	Threshold	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Incorrectly documented Urine POC Pregnancy Internal QC	0 errors	13	9	15	19	24								1 employee that had 9 occurrences no longer works at BH. Another with repeated occurrences was counseled by their manager. A detailed Power Point was reviewed at the ED staff meeting on 3/8 and was also emailed to individuals with occurrences in February.
# of i-STAT codes / # of cartridges run		28/333	17/323	18/221	18/325	12/418								Meets Threshold - No issues identified
i-STAT Quality Check Codes	<5.0%	8.4%	5.3%	8.1%	5.5%	2.9%								

# Performance Improvement Plan

**Lab Outreach Pre-Analytical Quality Indicator Monthly  
Review**


**Year 2/2023**

# Average Wait Times

Section	<b>Lab Outreach/Phlebotomy</b>
Phase	<b>Pre-Analytical</b>
Title	<b>Average Wait Times</b>
Objective	<b>Calculate the average times from patient check-in/registration to the point of specimen collection for each DN. This metric ensures patient satisfaction and monitors phlebotomists' productivity in each draw station location.</b>
Method	<b>Report from Helix – YNHHS Lab Blood Draw Wait Times report</b>
Definitions	<b>The YNHHS Lab Blood Draw Wait Times report will be pulled monthly across all draw stations.</b>
Expected Actions	<b>To assess each draw station patient, wait-times vs the total number. A summary report will be prepared for the Director. Feedback will be provided to the draw stations.</b>
Benchmarks	<b>Overall rate for patient wait time goal for 15 minutes.</b>



## February



### Summary:

**January:** Overall goal reached for the month. January metrics are BH draw station average 5 minutes overall. One Stop location wait-time was the only location outside of the 15-minute wait time goal.

**February:** Overall goal met for the month. February metrics are BH draw stations average 4 minutes overall. One Stop Testing Center was able to meet the patient wait-time of 15 minutes this month.

# Butterfly Needle Usage Rate

Section	<b>Lab Outreach/Phlebotomy</b>
Phase	<b>Pre-Analytical</b>
Title	<b>Butterfly Needle Usage Rate</b>
Objective	<b>Monitors the butterfly needle usage in the outpatient draw stations. Studies have shown that decreased butterfly needle usage results in less sample hemolysis, decreased costs, and less needlestick exposures.</b>
Method	<b>McKesson Supply Report or Infor Supply Report and Helix Reports – Collection Metrics monthly.</b>
Definitions	<b>We will be measuring the rate of butterfly needle usage vs total encounters.</b>
Expected Actions	<b>To assess each draw station butterfly usage rate. A summary report will be prepared for the Director. Feedback will be provided to the draw stations regarding butterfly usage rates.</b>
Benchmarks	<b>Overall rate for 20% butterfly needle usage rate.</b>

## Summary

**January:** Overall goal reached for the month. Across all the BH locations 20 boxes of butterfly needles were ordered over the course of the month.

**February:** Overall goal met for the month. Across all the BH locations 16 boxes of butterfly needles were ordered.

	Jan	Feb
Number of Butterfly Needles	1019	800
Total Number of Patient Draws	9302	9223
ALL DRAW STATIONS	11%	9%

# Cancel/Redraw Rates

Section	<b>Lab Outreach/Phlebotomy</b>
Phase	<b>Pre-Analytical</b>
Title	<b>Cancel/Redraw Rates</b>
Objective	<b>Monitors the cancel/redraw rates in the draw stations by measuring the number of cancel/redraws to overall samples collected as a percentage rate.</b>
Method	<b>Report on Helix – Redraw Rejection Cancellation and Lab Outreach Collection Metrics reports monthly.</b>
Definitions	<b>This metric will identify any collection procedure noncompliance and identify any areas that phlebotomists need retraining in. The redraw rates will be pulled monthly and compared to the 2022 metrics.</b>
Expected Actions	<b>To assess draw station locations, cancel/redraw rates. A summary report will be prepared for the Director to be discussed monthly. Feedback will be provided to the draw stations for improvements.</b>
Benchmarks	<b>Overall redraw rate goal of 5%.</b>

**Summary:**

**January:** Overall goal reached for the month. Majority of locations had a cancellation rate of 4% or less.

**February:** Overall goal met for the month. There has been an increase in redraw and cancellations across all the locations.

	Jan	Feb
BH BOSTON POST RD DRAW STATION	1.3%	2.5%
BH DRAW STATION SILVER LANE TRUMBULL	3.1%	2.6%
BH DRAW STATION STRATFORD	1.9%	1.7%
BH DRAW STATION WHITE PLAINS TRUMBULL	1.0%	4.7%
BH ENDOCRINOLOGY DRAW STATION QUARRY ROAD	2.6%	4.1%
BH FAM MED DRAW STATION QUARRY ROAD	2.5%	4.3%
BH HUNTINGTON MED TEST CTR	2.9%	4.9%
BH INT MED DRAW STATION QUARRY ROAD	4.4%	6.8%
BH KINGS HWY FRFLD DRAW STATION	2.1%	4.9%
BH MILFORD DRAW STATION	3.4%	3.8%
BH ONE STOP TESTING CTR	7.2%	7.1%
BH PK AVE DRAW STATION	4.6%	7.2%
BH SHELTON DRAW STATION	1.8%	2.4%
BH SOUTHPORT TESTING CENTER	3.0%	4.4%
BH TRUMBULL TECH DR DRAW STATION	2.3%	3.3%
BH WESTPORT RIVERSIDE DRAW STATION	4.6%	0.0%
<b>ALL DRAW STATION AVERAGE</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>4.1%</b>

**Notes:**

***Rejection reasons by location***

***Internal Medicine Quarry Road - 1 collection issue: Incorrect tube type/container, 9 collection issue: other, 4 collection issue: quantity not sufficient, 1 mislabeled: correct patient wrong container/source, 62 other (please specify) and 17 unlabeled.***

***One Stop Testing Center - 1 collection issue: clotted, 2 collection issue: incorrect specimen type, 3 collection issue: no initials (BB specimens), 48 collection issue: other, 4 collection issue: quantity not sufficient, 2 lab accident and 38 other (please specify).***

***Park Ave Draw Station – 1 collection issue: exceeded clinical time requirements, 1 collection issue: hemolyzed, 2 collection issue: incorrect specimen type, 1 collection issue: incorrect temperature, 5 collection issue: incorrect tube/container, 1 collection issue: not protected from light, 59 collection issue: other, 3 collection issue: quantity not sufficient, 3 mislabeled: correct patient; wrong container/source, 95 other (please specify) and 3 specimens lost.***

# Centrifuge Compliance

Section	<b>Lab Outreach/Phlebotomy</b>
Phase	<b>Pre-Analytical</b>
Title	<b>Centrifuge Compliance</b>
Objective	<b>Ensures centrifuges in the draw station are functioning as intended which will result in better quality samples and decrease processing errors and specimen rejections.</b>
Method	<b>Auditing centrifuges and record service reports. BioMed (TriMedx) service reports will save in DN specific folders.</b>
Definitions	<b>Every month each DN will be audited on the number of compliant centrifuges and be given a compliance percentage. For example, if all 32 centrifuges in the YNH area are serviced before the due date then they will be at 100% compliance every month.</b>
Expected Actions	<b>The team will also be keeping centrifuge records up-to-date for compliance across all Delivery Networks. A summary report will be prepared for the Director.</b>
Benchmarks	<b>Overall centrifuge compliance goal of 100%.</b>

**Summary**

**January:** Overall goal for the month was met. All centrifuges are update with inspections.

**February:** Overall goal for the month was met. All centrifuges are up-to-date.

	Jan	Feb
Number of Compliant Centrifuges	19	19
Total Number of Centrifuges	19	19
<b>ALL DRAW STATIONS</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>



# Patient Satisfactory Survey


<b>Section</b>	<b>Lab Outreach/Phlebotomy</b>
<b>Phase</b>	<b>Pre-Analytical</b>
<b>Title</b>	<b>Patient Satisfactory Survey</b>
<b>Objective</b>	<b>Achieving high levels of satisfaction is directly dependent on the high-quality patient care provided by phlebotomists in draw stations. In order to find out the pain-points of patients, whether there's any room for improvement, and what measures can be implemented to enhance services.</b>
<b>Method</b>	<b>Key Survey Reports and Press Ganey surveys scores</b>
<b>Definitions</b>	<b>The Key Survey report will be pulled monthly.</b>
<b>Expected Actions</b>	<b>To assess each draw station the patient experience surveys or scores. A summary report will be prepared for the Director. Feedback will be provided to the draw stations to make improvements to help increase patient satisfaction.</b>
<b>Benchmarks</b>	<b>Overall patient satisfaction rate 90%.</b>

## Summary


**January:** Overall goal not for the month. January patient satisfaction rate was 60%. Across a portion of the draw station locations 70% of patients were likely to recommend our facilities to a friend, 100% of patients felt our facilities were neat and clean, and 100% of patients felt they were treated with respect during their visit.

**February:** Overall goal for the month was met. Across the BH draw station locations 96% of patients were likely to recommend our facilities to a friend, 99% of patients felt our facilities were neat and clean, and 97% of patients felt they were treated with respect during their visit.

### February Patient Satisfaction Rate 92%



### Patients would recommend the draw stations to a friend at 95%



# Transcription Accuracy Rate

<b>Section</b>	<b>Lab Outreach/Phlebotomy</b>
<b>Phase</b>	<b>Pre-Analytical</b>
<b>Title</b>	<b>Transcription accuracy rate</b>
<b>Objective</b>	<b>Monitors the accuracy of the phlebotomist's transcriptions into EPIC from paper requisitions.</b>
<b>Method</b>	<b>Report run by Lab Billing department daily.</b>
<b>Definitions</b>	<b>Report is run by Lab Billing, and they randomly select up to 5 transcribed requisitions from each DN daily. The areas evaluated for accuracy will be the provider's name, tests ordered, scanning of req into EPIC and charges. Lab Billing will track the requisitions selected and errors in a separate spreadsheet on the YNH :/L shared drive.</b>
<b>Expected Actions</b>	<b>To assess each draw station transcription accuracy. A summary report will be prepared for the Director. Feedback will be provided to the draw stations.</b>
<b>Benchmarks</b>	<b>Overall rate for 90% transcription accuracy.</b>


## Summary

**January:** Overall goal reached for the month. For the month of January, the # of providers transcribed correctly 102/103, sum of tests transcribed correctly 392/396 and # of requisitions scanned in EPIC 51/65.

**February:** Overall goal for the month has been met. For the month of February, the # of providers transcribed correctly 60/60, sum of tests transcribed correctly 204/206 and # of requisitions scanned in EPIC 14/24.

	Jan	Feb
ALL DRAW STATION AVERAGE	97%	96%


# Microbiology Quality Measures for FY 2023




# Microbiology Test Volumes

2023 Total V	Expected Threshold	October	November	December	January	February
MRSA		459	447	492	441	396
MRSA +	100%	39	47	58	46	46
Cdiff		155	130	148	168	161
Cdiff +	100%	28	22	29	24	25
RVP	100%	312	297	272	231	229
Stool		144	128	136	146	161
Stool Admitted	100%	49	49	67	56	56
Errors	< 5	4	0	1	0	2

# BH Blood Culture Contamination Rate




# BH Blood Culture Contamination Rate(ED only)






# BH Blood Culture Contamination Rate (excluding ED)




# Blood culture Contamination Rate DNs Comparison




■ LMH/WH    ■ YNHH  
■ GH        ■ BH/MC

# Blood Culture Bottle Volumes – Above Optimal




# Blood Culture Bottle Volumes – Below Optimal




# Molecular Statistics

Date	Tests	Sample size	Positive Count	% Positivity	Lower Limit	Upper Limit	Environment Monitoring	Epidemiological Trends	Evaluation Notes
Feb-23	Chlamydia trachomatis, NAAT	693	33	4.80%	2%	7%	Negative	None	None
Feb-23	GBS PCR Pen Allergic	17	3	17.60%	0%	49%	Negative	None	None
Feb-23	GBS PCR Pen NonAllergic	88	21	23.90%	16%	33%	Negative	None	None
Feb-23	Group A Strep PCR	400	115	28.80%	1%	24%	Negative	Strep A positivity rates increase in Winter and Spring seasons.	None
Feb-23	HSV 1 AND 2 DIRECT PCR,	17	6	35.30%	1%	55%	Negative	None	None
Feb-23	Influenza A/B RNA, NAAT	885	6	0.70%	0%	21%	Negative	None	None
Feb-23	Influenza/RSV by RT-PCR	2,887	45	1.60%	0%	18%	Negative	None	None
Feb-23	MRSA Colonization Status	358	46	12.80%	5%	18%	Negative	None	None
Feb-23	MRSA/SAUR Blood PCR	35	15	42.90%	14%	53%	Negative	None	None
Feb-23	MTB w/rflx Rifampin PCR	4	0	0.00%	0%	89%	Negative	None	None
Feb-23	N. gonorrhoeae, NAAT	693	10	1.40%	1%	3%	Negative	None	None
Feb-23	Resp Virus PCR Panel	157	62	39.50%	3%	53%	Negative	None	None
Feb-23	SARS CoV-2 (COVID-19) RNA	8,328	567	6.80%	0%	21%	Negative	None	None
Feb-23	Stool Pathogens PCR	135	29	21.50%	0%	20%	Negative	None	1 Campy, 23 Noro, 4 Rota, 1 Shigella, 1 Sal


# FY2023 Draw Station Errors



# Quest Rejected Tests






# Quest TAT











# Bridgeport Campus – COVID-19 Cepheid



# Bridgeport Campus – COVID Liat




# Bridgeport Campus – COVID-19 Panther



# Cost Per Billable

FY2021 - FY2023 Cost Per Reportable (Total # of Expenses/# of Tests)  
Bridgeport vs. Milford



	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Jan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Oct-21	Nov-21	Dec-21	Jan-22	Feb-22	Mar-22	Apr-22	May-22	Jun-22	Jul-22	Aug-22	Sep-22	Oct-22	Nov-22	Dec-22	Jan-23	23-Feb
■ BH Cost per billable	\$9.	\$13	\$18	\$13	\$10	\$10	\$9.	\$9.	\$9.	\$9.	\$9.	\$9.	\$10.	\$10	\$13	\$9.	\$11	\$10	\$12	\$12	\$11	\$10	\$11	\$13	\$11	\$13	\$13	10.3	22.1
■ MC Cost per billable	\$13	\$11	\$23	\$15	\$14	\$18	\$14	\$17	\$15	\$16	\$13	\$14	\$17	\$15	\$16	\$18	\$18	\$22	\$25	\$16	\$20	\$20	\$17	\$16	\$14	\$14	17.2	17.7	35.2


■ BH Cost per billable    ■ MC Cost per billable

# Lab General

BH CL07D0099572/CAP1191901  
 MCBH CL07D0097265/CAP 1189901

Quality Metric	Target	Sample size	Current performance	Previous month	Patient Impact	Corrective action and follow-up	Responsible
CAP PT Turnaround within 30 days	90%	BC	100% (22/22 surveys)	100%	None	None needed.	Lab management and administration
		MC	100% (5/5 surveys)	100%			

**CAP Proficiency Test Completion <30 days**  
 Benchmark 90%



	Mar-22	Apr-22	May-22	Jun-22	Jul-22	Aug-22	Sep-22	Oct-22	Nov-22	Dec-22	Jan-23	Feb-23
BH	100	100	100	97	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
MC	100	100	100	80	100	88	86	100	75	89	100	100

■ BH ■ MC

# Lab General - Bridgeport


## BH Proficiency Testing Performance Target 98%

Campus	Analytes	Performance	Previous Month	Patient impact	Corrective actions
BH	175/177	99%	99%	None	None required for benchmark-all surveys satisfactory. Each section investigates failed/unsatisfactory performances.

### Proficiency Testing Performance Overview ?

Select View: Graph

#### Acceptable Proficiency Testing by Year and Group




<b>7</b> Mailings with New Evaluations	<b>0</b> Mailings with Revised Evaluations	<b>0</b> Analytes with Unsatisfactory PT	<b>0</b> Analytes with Unsuccessful PT	<b>0</b> Analytes with Repeat Unsuccessful PT
---	---	---	---	--

Reporting Year	Acceptable %	Demographic Group Average <span>?</span>	CAP-wide Average
2023	99.02%	99.05%	98.87%
2022	99.32%	98.99%	98.63%
2021	99.81%	99.07%	98.67%

Period Name	Percent Deficient	Demographic Group Average ⓘ	CAP-wide Average
Current Cycle	0.47%	0.80%	0.79%
Previous Cycle	0.11%	0.84%	0.85%
Second Previous Cycle	0.65%	0.85%	0.86%

### Deficient Accreditation Performance by Cycle and Group



# Lab General


BH Corrected Reports  
Target <2.7/10,000 results

Quality Metric Corrected Reports	Sample size (tests)	Current performance	Previous month	Patient Impact	Corrective action and follow-up	Responsible
BC Lab Corrected reports	191,946	1.3 (0.013%)	1.5 (0.015%)	Corrected reports can lead to adverse patient outcomes	None needed benchmark met.	Laboratory administration



# Lab General


**Corrected Reports**  
**Benchmark 2.7 corrections/10,000 results.**



June 2022 above threshold due to courier transport issue identified late which resulted in specimens needing recollection after verification of results.  
August 2022 above threshold due to electrode ISE malfunction requiring patients to be re-run with 38 corrected result

# BH Non-Conforming Events (Department of Clinical Pathology)

## BH Non-conforming Events (Department of Clinical Pathology)



\* Definition of Non Conforming events for this Quality Measure includes irreplaceable samples only.


# MCBH Proficiency Testing Performance Target 98%

Campus	Analytes	Performance	Previous Month	Patient impact	Corrective actions
MCBH	118/118	100%	100%	None	None required for benchmark-all surveys satisfactory. Each section investigates failed/unsatisfactory performances.

## Proficiency Testing Performance Overview ?

Select View: Graph ▼

Acceptable Proficiency Testing by Year and Group



Reporting Year	Acceptable %	Demographic Group Average <span>?</span>	CAP-wide Average
2023	100.00%	99.05%	98.87%
2022	99.94%	98.99%	98.63%
2021	99.30%	99.07%	98.67%


# MCBH Accreditation Performance Overview

## MCBH Accreditation Performance Overview

### Accreditation Performance Overview ?

Select View: Graph ▼

**Deficient Accreditation Performance by Cycle and Group**




Last Accreditation Decision	Date
Accredited	5/9/2022

Current Cycle Inspection(s)			
Date	Inspection Type	% Deficient	Recurring Deficiencies
3/28/2022	Routine	0.62	0

Period Name	Percent Deficient	Demographic Group Average <span>?</span>	CAP-wide Average
Current Cycle	0.62%	0.80%	0.79%
Previous Cycle	0.74%	0.84%	0.85%
Second Previous Cycle	0.73%	0.85%	0.86%

# MCBH Non-Conforming Events (Department of Clinical Pathology)

Quality Metric	Target	Sample size	Current performance	Previous month	Patient Impact	Corrective action and follow-up	Responsible Staff
Nonconforming events MCBH	0	21,473 Tests	0	0	None	None needed	Lab administration and management




\* Definition of Non Conforming events for this Quality Measure includes irreplaceable samples only.

# MCBH Corrected Reports

## Target <2.7/10,000 results


Quality Metric Corrected Reports	Sample size (tests)	Current performance	Previous month	Patient Impact	Corrective action and follow-up	Responsible
MCBC Lab Corrected reports.	21,473	1.9 (0.19%)	1.3 (0.013%)	Corrected reports can lead to adverse patient outcomes	None needed benchmark met.	Laboratory administration

**MCBH Corrected Reports**  
Benchmark 2.7 corrections/10,000 results.



# Lab General


% Rejected Specimens  
 <3.5%\* Literature Benchmark  
 1.1% YNHHS Benchmark.




\*Rooper L. Carter, J., Hargrove, J., Hoffman, S & Riedel, S. (2017). Targeting rejections: analysis of specimen acceptability and rejection. *Journal of Clinical Laboratory Analysis* .volume 31, issue 3

# Lab General

Rejected Specimens by Classification (all BH collection locations)




## Top 3 Rejections-BH ED totals






# Lab General



## Top 3 Rejections-MCBH ED totals




# Lab General

---

**BH & MCBH Events Calendar Completion 64%**  
**Benchmark 100%**  
**16/25 Events completed**

**February 2023**




# Lab General

## BH RL SOLUTIONS MONITOR

February 2023

RL solution drill down



	Oct-22	Nov-22	Dec-22	Jan-23	Feb-23
% closed	69	56	76	79	73
% initiated by lab	72	75	71	84	92
% initiated against lab	3	25	29	16	8
% non safety issue (closed)	62	69	56	42	62
% serious safety issue	0	0	0	0	0




■ % closed ■ % initiated by lab ■ % initiated against lab ■ % non safety issue (closed) ■ % serious safety issue

**27/37 events closed, 6 new, 4 in progress**




**34 lab initiated**

**0 Serious Safety Events, rest barrier catches not reaching patients**




# Milford Campus – Gen 5 Troponin TAT






# Milford Campus – Basic Metabolic Panel (BMP) ED TAT






# Milford Campus – Complete Blood Count (CBC) ED TAT



# Milford Campus – PTINR ED TAT






# Milford Campus – D-dimer ED TAT








# Milford Campus – COVID Cepheid PCR ED TAT



# Milford Campus – Type and Screen ED TAT



# Milford Campus RBC

---

	<b>Oct</b>	<b>Nov</b>	<b>Dec</b>	<b>Jan</b>	<b>Feb</b>	<b>Total Amount</b>
<b>Transfusion</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>\$104,550.60</b>
<b>Wasted</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>\$104,550.60</b>

# Milford Campus Cryo

---

	<b>Oct</b>	<b>Nov</b>	<b>Dec</b>	<b>Jan</b>	<b>Feb</b>	<b>Total Amount</b>
<b>Transfusion</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$994.50</b>
<b>Wasted</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$331.50</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$1,326.00</b>

# Milford Campus FFP

---

	<b>Oct</b>	<b>Nov</b>	<b>Dec</b>	<b>Jan</b>	<b>Feb</b>	<b>Total Amount</b>
<b>Transfusion</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$694.65</b>
<b>Wasted</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>\$370.48</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>\$1,065.13</b>

## Milford Campus Platelet Discarded

	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Total Amount
<b>Transfusion</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$16,152.00</b>
<b>Discarded</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>\$45,091.00</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>\$61,243.00</b>
<b>% Discarded</b>	<b>78.57%</b>	<b>46.67%</b>	<b>60.00%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>85%</b>	
<b>Discarded/Day</b>	<b>0.3548</b>	<b>0.2258</b>	<b>0.2903</b>	<b>0.5667</b>	<b>0.8214</b>	<b>\$1,520.35</b>

## **CRSQ Report Out**

Committee of Regulatory, Safety, & Quality

3/22/2023


---

Bridgeport Hospital

Laboratory Blood Bank


Edward Snyder MD, Christine Minerowicz MD, Lisa Krause, Melissa Morales, Teodorico Lee, Laura Buhlmann

# Total Products Transfused - BH






# Transfused Blood Products By Component - BH



	LRC	FFP	CRYO Reduced Plasma	Thawed Plasma	SDP	PR PLT	CRYO
Mar - FY22	489	103	0	31	1	65	8
Apr - FY22	464	38	0	27	0	56	2
May - FY22	452	140	0	17	0	112	5
Jun - FY22	510	169	0	11	0	51	8
Jul - FY22	437	56	0	1	6	57	14
Aug - FY22	386	66	0	15	1	37	9
Sep - FY22	408	83	0	48	0	31	1
Oct - FY23	449	41	0	11	0	48	8
Nov - FY23	440	42	0	8	0	39	11
Dec - FY23	410	27	0	8	0	61	16
Jan - FY23	394	35	0	1	4	65	21
Feb - FY23	380	32	0	4	1	67	20

PI.01.01.01 EP6


## Total Transfusion Reaction - BH




	Allergic	Febrile	Anaphylac tic	Taco	Trali	Hemolytic	Other	Total
Mar - FY22	0	(1) 0.14	0	0	0	0	0	1
Apr - FY22	0	(2) 0.33	0	0	0	0	0	2
May - FY22	(1) 0.13	0	0	0	0	0	(1) 0.13	2
Jun - FY22	(2) 0.22	(3) 0.33	0	0	0	0	0	5
Jul - FY22	(1) 0.2	(1) 0.2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Aug - FY22	(1) .19	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Sep - FY22	0	(1) .17	0	0	0	0	(1) .17	2
Oct - FY23	(1) .17	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Nov - FY23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dec - FY23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jan - FY23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Feb - FY23	0	0	0	0	0	(1) .13	(1) .13	2

PI.01.01.01 EP7

# Total Products Transfused - MC




# Trasfused Blood Products By Component - MC



	LRC	FFP	CRYO Reduced Plasma	Thawed Plasma	SDP	PR PLT	CRYO
Mar - FY22	59	0	0	0	0	0	1
Apr - FY22	56	0	0	0	0	0	0
May - FY22	84	3	0	0	0	0	5
Jun - FY22	77	2	0	0	0	0	6
Jul - FY22	79	0	0	0	6	6	0
Aug - FY22	94	0	0	0	1	1	0
Sep - FY22	90	0	0	2	0	1	0
Oct - FY23	109	0	0	4	0	3	1
Nov - FY23	96	0	0	8	0	8	1
Dec - FY23	94	0	0	8	0	6	0
Jan - FY23	73	0	0	1	0	3	1
Feb - FY23	90	1	0	0	0	4	0

## Total Transfusion Reaction - MC




	Allergic	Febrile	Anaphylactic	Taco	Trali	Hemolytic	Other	Total
Mar - FY22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Apr - FY22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
May - FY22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jun - FY22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jul - FY22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Aug - FY22	0	(1) 1.05	0	0	0	0	0	1
Sep - FY22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oct - FY23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nov - FY23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dec - FY23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jan - FY23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Feb - FY23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PI.01.01.01 EP7

# Milford Campus Molecular Dashboard

Percentage with Abnormal? by Test

Last 6 months by month



- Group A Strep PCR
- SARS CoV-2 (COVID-19) RNA
- Influenza A/B RNA, NAAT
- Influenza/RSV by RT-PCR

Date	Tests	% Positivity	Derived Baseline	Environment Monitoring	Physician Feedback	Epidemiological Trends	Evaluation Notes	Corrective Action (if needed)
23-Feb	SARS-CoV-2	4.1	0-22%	Negative	None	None	None	None
23-Feb	Group A Strep	15.9	0-19%	Negative	None	None	None	None
23-Feb	Flu A/B	0	0-7%	Negative	None	None	None	None
23-Feb	Flu/RSV	0.9	0-14%	Negative	None	None	None	None

## CRSQ Report Out

Committee of Regulatory, Safety, & Quality

February 2023

---

Bridgeport Hospital

Department of Laboratory Medicine

Teodorico Lee MPH, Christine Minerowicz M.D., Edward Snyder M.D.,  
Laura Buhlmann M.S., Melissa Morales B.A.


<p><b>SMART Aim</b> <i>Specific-Measurable-Actionable-Relevant-Timely</i></p>	<p>Increase the critical result notification compliance with our 30-minute goal to 95% at Bridgeport Hospital The 30-minute time period is from the moment the critical value is final verified to the moment the communication log in Epic is completed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We are currently at <b>92.0%</b> compliance as a department.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Key drivers</b> <i>measurable processes impacting the outcome</i></p>	<p>Decrease the time from result verification to communication log completion.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Increase performance of correct workflow (verify result first and then notify provider).</li> <li>Timely communication of outpatient critical values</li> </ul>
<p><b>Interventions</b> <i>actions/changes necessary to impact key drivers</i></p>	<p>Standardize critical call list workflow</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provided re-education and tips and tricks for the correct workflow.</li> <li>Identified a process to streamline outpatient critical calls (work with specific practices with known notification issues).</li> </ul>
<p><b>Results*</b> <i>accomplishments, modifications, barriers</i></p>	<p>Accomplishments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>July 2022 had a 94.9% compliance (highest in the 12 month period of Mar 2022-Feb 2023).</li> <li>Department of Laboratory Medicine averages approximately 1900 critical calls per month.</li> </ul>

Note: There is an additional system project to standardize critical result notification workflow.

- Will allow reports and metrics to be standardized as well




# Bridgeport Hospital Department of Laboratory Medicine Critical Call Percent Compliance 91.6% (cumulatively) 3/1/2022-2/28/2023



# Bridgeport Campus Critical Call Percent Compliance 91.6%

3/1/2022-2/28/2023



# Milford Campus Critical Call Percent Compliance 92.1%

## 3/1/2022-2/28/2023

Milford Campus Critical Call Percent Compliance  
Threshold 95%

